SEP 10 2008 IN

N THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of

Dairiki et al.

Serial No. 10/523,106

Filed: February 3, 2005

Confirmation No. 7579 Attny. Docket No. 46242 Group Art Unit: 1609 Examiner: Sullivan, D.

For: GRANULATED PESTICIDAL COMPOSITION

TRANSMITTAL OF RESPONSE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is an Response in the above-captioned application. The fee has been calculated as shown below. (Small entity fees indicated in parentheses.)

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
	Claims Remaining After Amendment		Highest Number Previously Paid For	Extra Claims	Rate	Fee
Total Claims	5	-	20	0	50.00	\$0
(Small Entity)					(25.00)	
ndependent claims	1	-	3	0	210.00	\$0
(Small Entity)					(105.00)	
Multiple Dependent	0	-	0	0	370.00	\$0
(Small Entity)					(185.00)	
Extension of Time	One Month		Two Months	Three Months	Four Months	
Fee	\$120		\$460	\$1,050	\$1,640	\$0
(Small Entity)	(\$60)		(\$230)	(\$525)	(\$820)	
IDS						\$0

The above fees are believed to be correct. However, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-0687 under the above Attorney Docket Number for which purpose this paper is submitted in duplicate. **CUSTOMER NO. 20736**

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 10, 2008

Reg. No. 32,011

Tel. No. 202-261-1050 Fax No. 202-887-0336

Manelli Denison & Selter, PLLC 2000 M Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20036-3307 202.261.1000

-r `s



N THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of Dairiki et al. Serial No. 10/523,106

Filed: February 3, 2005

For: GRANULATED PESTICIDAL COMPOSITION

Confirmation No. 7579 Attny. Docket No. 46242 Group Art Unit: 1609 Examiner: Sullivan, D.

TRANSMITTAL OF RESPONSE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is an Response in the above-captioned application. The fee has been calculated as shown below. (Small entity fees indicated in parentheses.)

CLAIMS AS AMENDED									
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)			
	Claims Remaining After Amendment		Highest Number Previously Paid For	Extra Claims	Rate	Fee			
Total Claims	5	<u> -</u>	20	0	50.00	\$0			
(Small Entity)					(25.00)				
ndependent claims	1	-	3	0	210.00	\$0			
(Small Entity)					(105.00)				
Multiple Dependent	0	-	0	0	370.00	\$0			
(Small Entity)					(185.00)				
Extension of Time	One Month		Two Months	Three Months	Four Months				
Fee	\$120		\$460	\$1,050	\$1,640	\$0			
(Small Entity)	(\$60)		(\$230)	(\$525)	(\$820)				
IDS						\$0			
Total						\$0			

The above fees are believed to be correct. However, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-0687 under the above Attorney Docket Number for which purpose this paper is submitted in duplicate. CUSTOMER NO. 20736

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 10, 2008

Paul E. White, Jr.

Reg. No. 32,011 Tel. No. 202-261-1050 Fax No. 202-887-0336

Manelli Denison & Selter, PLLC 2000 M Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20036-3307 202.261.1000



In re Patent Application of DAIRIKI et al.

Application No. 10/523,106

Application Filed: February 3, 2005

Title: GRANULATED PESTICIDAL COMPOSITION

Confirmation No. 7579 Atty Docket. No. 46242

Group Art Unit: 1616

Examiner: Danielle Sullivan

September 10, 2008

SECOND PRELIMINARY SUBMISSION

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This Second Preliminary Submission follows the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and the filing of a first Preliminary Submission with a Rule 132 Declaration, both on June 27, 2008. The present Second Preliminary Submission and the first Preliminary Submission supplement the Amendment filed April 29, 2008, under 37 CFR 1.116 in response to the Office Action dated January 29, 2008.

The present Second Preliminary Submission specifically addresses the comments stated in the Advisory Action dated June 27, 2008.

The applicants further traverse the rejection in the January 29, 2008 Office Action of claims 1-5 under 35 USC 103(a) over Suwa et al., in view of Suzuki et al. and in further view of Deming et al. None of the cited references taken alone or in combination make the presently claimed invention to be obvious.

In response to the comments in the Advisory Action regarding Suzuki et al., please note that with respect to the presently claimed invention, present claim 1 recites "polyoxyethylene tristyrylphenyl ether sulfate salt" or "polyoxyethylene